

Web Supplement for “Association between body fat and  
body mass index from incomplete longitudinal proportion  
data: Findings from the Fels study”  
by

Xin Tong\*, Seohyun Kim<sup>†</sup>, Dipankar Bandyopadhyay<sup>‡</sup>, Shumei Sun<sup>§</sup>

---

\*Dept. of Psychology, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA

<sup>†</sup>Research Biostatistician, Mid-Atlantic Permanente Research Institute, Kaiser Permanente, Rockville, MD

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Biostatistics, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA; E-mail: dbandyop@vcu.edu

<sup>§</sup>Department of Biostatistics, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA

# Web Supplement A: Study 1

		BMI		PBF	
		Parameter	Estimate	Parameter	Estimate
<b>Fixed effects</b>					
<i>I</i>	Intercept	$\beta_{00}$	25.593*	$\gamma_{00}$	-1.426*
	Sex	$\beta_{01}$	-0.574	$\gamma_{01}$	0.684*
<i>Time</i>	Intercept	$\beta_{10}$	0.357*	$\gamma_{10}$	0.017*
	Sex	$\beta_{11}$	-0.032	$\gamma_{11}$	0.002
<b>Random effects</b>					
	Var( <i>I</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(1, 1)$	38.245*	$\Sigma_{\xi}(1, 1)$	0.123*
	Var( <i>S</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(2, 2)$	0.083*	$\Sigma_{\xi}(2, 2)$	0.002*
	Cov( <i>I</i> , <i>S</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(1, 2)$	1.432*	$\Sigma_{\xi}(1, 2)$	0.000
	Precision	$\phi$	-	$\phi$	60.436*
	Residual	$\sigma_u^2$	1.672*	$\sigma_u^2$	-

Table A.1: Results for the linear growth curve model for BMI and PBF. Values followed by an asterisk (\*) have 95% credibility intervals that do not include 0.

		BMI		PBF	
		Parameter	Estimate	Parameter	Estimate
<b>Fixed effects</b>					
<i>I</i>	Intercept	$\beta_{00}$	25.319*	$\gamma_{00}$	-1.414*
	Sex	$\beta_{01}$	-0.578	$\gamma_{01}$	0.671*
<i>Time</i>	Intercept	$\beta_{10}$	0.152*	$\gamma_{10}$	0.033*
	Sex	$\beta_{11}$	-0.010	$\gamma_{11}$	-0.019*
<i>Time</i> <sup>2</sup>	Intercept	$\beta_{20}$	-0.007*	$\gamma_{20}$	0.000
	Sex	$\beta_{21}$	0.002	$\gamma_{21}$	0.000
<b>Random effects</b>					
	Var( <i>I</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(1, 1)$	26.994*	$\Sigma_{\xi}(1, 1)$	0.136*
	Var( <i>S</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(2, 2)$	0.072*	$\Sigma_{\xi}(2, 2)$	0.005*
	Var( <i>Q</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(3, 3)$	0.002*	$\Sigma_{\xi}(3, 3)$	0.001*
	Cov( <i>I</i> , <i>S</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(1, 2)$	0.197*	$\Sigma_{\xi}(1, 2)$	-0.006*
	Cov( <i>I</i> , <i>Q</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(1, 3)$	-0.041*	$\Sigma_{\xi}(1, 3)$	0.000
	Cov( <i>S</i> , <i>Q</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(2, 3)$	0.000	$\Sigma_{\xi}(2, 3)$	0.000
	Precision	$\phi$	-	$\phi$	66.130*
	Residual	$\sigma_u^2$	1.353*	$\sigma_u^2$	-

Table A.2: Results for the quadratic growth curve model for BMI and PBF. Values followed by an asterisk (\*) have 95% credibility intervals that do not include 0.

		BMI		PBF	
		Parameter	Estimate	Parameter	Estimate
<b>Fixed effects</b>					
<i>I</i>	Intercept	$\beta_{00}$	25.346*	$\gamma_{00}$	-
	Sex	$\beta_{01}$	-0.973*	$\gamma_{01}$	-
<i>Time</i>	Intercept	$\beta_{10}$	0.159*	$\gamma_{10}$	-
	Sex	$\beta_{11}$	-0.007	$\gamma_{11}$	-
<i>Time</i> <sup>2</sup>	Intercept	$\beta_{20}$	-0.005	$\gamma_{20}$	-
	Sex	$\beta_{21}$	0.009	$\gamma_{21}$	-
<i>Time</i> <sup>3</sup>	Intercept	$\beta_{30}$	0.000	$\gamma_{30}$	-
	Sex	$\beta_{31}$	0.000	$\gamma_{31}$	-
<b>Random effects</b>					
	Var( <i>I</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(1, 1)$	30.772*	$\Sigma_{\xi}(1, 1)$	-
	Var( <i>S</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(2, 2)$	0.049*	$\Sigma_{\xi}(2, 2)$	-
	Var( <i>Q</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(3, 3)$	0.004*	$\Sigma_{\xi}(3, 3)$	-
	Var( <i>C</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(4, 4)$	0.001*	$\Sigma_{\xi}(4, 4)$	-
	Cov( <i>I</i> , <i>S</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(1, 2)$	0.347*	$\Sigma_{\xi}(1, 2)$	-
	Cov( <i>I</i> , <i>Q</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(1, 3)$	-0.141*	$\Sigma_{\xi}(1, 3)$	-
	Cov( <i>I</i> , <i>C</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(1, 4)$	-0.001	$\Sigma_{\xi}(1, 4)$	-
	Cov( <i>S</i> , <i>Q</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(2, 3)$	-0.002*	$\Sigma_{\xi}(2, 3)$	-
	Cov( <i>S</i> , <i>C</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(2, 4)$	0.000	$\Sigma_{\xi}(2, 4)$	-
	Cov( <i>Q</i> , <i>C</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(3, 4)$	0.000	$\Sigma_{\xi}(3, 4)$	-
	Precision	$\phi$	-	$\phi$	-
	Residual	$\sigma_u^2$	1.109*	$\sigma_u^2$	-

Table A.3: Results for the cubic growth curve model for BMI and PBF. Values followed by an asterisk (\*) have 95% credibility intervals that do not include 0. The cubic growth curve model didn't converge for PBF.

## Web Supplement B: Study 2

	BMI		PBF	
	WAIC	LOO	WAIC	LOO
Linear GCM	10261.6	10480.0	-14717.9	<b>-14476.4</b>
Quadratic GCM	9964.0	10556.8	<b>-14841.4</b>	-14285.7
Cubic GCM	<b>9474.3</b>	<b>10436.2</b>	-	-

Table B.1: WAIC and LOO values for linear, quadratic, and cubic growth curve models that are fitted to the BMI and PBF data respectively

		BMI		PBF	
		Parameter	Estimate	Parameter	Estimate
Fixed effects					
<i>I</i>	Intercept	$\beta_{00}$	22.732*	$\gamma_{00}$	-1.379*
	Sex	$\beta_{01}$	0.960*	$\gamma_{01}$	0.493*
	BP	$\tau_1$	0.177*	$\nu_1$	-0.024*
	Bicep	$\tau_2$	0.915*	$\nu_2$	0.123*
	BCimped	$\tau_3$	-0.818*	$\nu_3$	0.156*
<i>Time</i>	Waist	$\tau_4$	3.712*	$\nu_4$	0.351*
	Intercept	$\beta_{10}$	-0.006	$\gamma_{10}$	0.005*
	Sex	$\beta_{11}$	0.016*	$\gamma_{11}$	-0.002
BP	DBP	$\lambda$	1.001*	$\lambda$	1.000*
	Residual	$\sigma_e^2$	0.327*	$\sigma_e^2$	0.327*
	Var(BP)	$\sigma_{BP}^2$	0.673*	$\sigma_{BP}^2$	0.674*
Random effects					
	Var( <i>I</i> )	$\Sigma_\zeta(1, 1)$	2.502*	$\Sigma_\xi(1, 1)$	0.043*
	Var( <i>S</i> )	$\Sigma_\zeta(2, 2)$	0.007*	$\Sigma_\xi(2, 2)$	0.002*
	Cov( <i>I</i> , <i>S</i> )	$\Sigma_\zeta(1, 2)$	0.074*	$\Sigma_\xi(1, 2)$	0.000
	Precision	$\phi$	-	$\phi$	72.066*
	Residual	$\sigma_u^2$	0.369*	$\sigma_u^2$	-

Table B.2: Results for the linear growth curve model for BMI and PBF. Values followed by an asterisk (\*) have 95% credibility intervals that do not include 0.

		BMI		PBF	
		Parameter	Estimate	Parameter	Estimate
Fixed effects					
<i>I</i>	Intercept	$\beta_{00}$	22.835*	$\gamma_{00}$	-1.447*
	Sex	$\beta_{01}$	0.882*	$\gamma_{01}$	0.563*
	BP	$\tau_1$	0.170*	$\nu_1$	-0.011
	Bicep	$\tau_2$	0.933*	$\nu_2$	0.109*
	BCimped	$\tau_3$	-0.774*	$\nu_3$	0.118*
<i>Time</i>	Waist	$\tau_4$	3.686*	$\nu_4$	0.401*
	Intercept	$\beta_{10}$	0.016	$\gamma_{10}$	0.028*
	Sex	$\beta_{11}$	-0.021	$\gamma_{11}$	-0.018*
<i>Time</i> <sup>2</sup>	Intercept	$\beta_{20}$	0.000	$\gamma_{20}$	0.000
	Sex	$\beta_{21}$	0.001	$\gamma_{21}$	0.000
BP	DBP	$\lambda$	1.001*	$\lambda$	1.000*
	Residual	$\sigma_e^2$	0.327*	$\sigma_e^2$	0.327*
	Var(BP)	$\sigma_{BP}^2$	0.673*	$\sigma_{BP}^2$	0.674*
Random effects					
	Var( <i>I</i> )	$\Sigma_\zeta(1, 1)$	2.332*	$\Sigma_\xi(1, 1)$	0.035*
	Var( <i>S</i> )	$\Sigma_\zeta(2, 2)$	0.015*	$\Sigma_\xi(2, 2)$	0.004*
	Var( <i>Q</i> )	$\Sigma_\zeta(3, 3)$	0.001*	$\Sigma_\xi(3, 3)$	0.001*
	Cov( <i>I</i> , <i>S</i> )	$\Sigma_\zeta(1, 2)$	0.003	$\Sigma_\xi(1, 2)$	-0.001*
	Cov( <i>I</i> , <i>Q</i> )	$\Sigma_\zeta(1, 3)$	-0.003	$\Sigma_\xi(1, 3)$	0.000
	Cov( <i>S</i> , <i>Q</i> )	$\Sigma_\zeta(2, 3)$	0.000	$\Sigma_\xi(2, 3)$	0.000
	Precision	$\phi$	-	$\phi$	80.557*
	Residual	$\sigma_u^2$	0.320*	$\sigma_u^2$	-

Table B.3: Results for the quadratic growth curve model for BMI and PBF. Values followed by an asterisk (\*) have 95% credibility intervals that do not include 0.

		BMI		PBF	
		Parameter	Estimate	Parameter	Estimate
<b>Fixed effects</b>					
<i>I</i>	Intercept	$\beta_{00}$	23.100*	$\gamma_{00}$	-
	Sex	$\beta_{01}$	1.028*	$\gamma_{01}$	-
	BP	$\tau_1$	0.143*	$\nu_1$	-
	Bicep	$\tau_2$	0.912*	$\nu_2$	-
	BCimped	$\tau_3$	-0.751*	$\nu_3$	-
<i>Time</i>	Waist	$\tau_4$	3.657*	$\nu_4$	-
	Intercept	$\beta_{10}$	-0.024	$\gamma_{10}$	-
<i>Time</i> <sup>2</sup>	Sex	$\beta_{11}$	0.024	$\gamma_{11}$	-
	Intercept	$\beta_{20}$	-0.004	$\gamma_{20}$	-
<i>Time</i> <sup>3</sup>	Sex	$\beta_{21}$	0.001	$\gamma_{21}$	-
	Intercept	$\beta_{30}$	0.000	$\gamma_{30}$	-
BP	Sex	$\beta_{31}$	0.000	$\gamma_{31}$	-
	DBP	$\lambda$	1.002*	$\lambda$	-
	Residual	$\sigma^2$	0.327*	$\sigma^2$	-
	Var(BP)	$\sigma_{BP}^2$	0.673*	$\sigma_{BP}^2$	-
<b>Random effects</b>					
	Var( <i>I</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(1, 1)$	3.073*	$\Sigma_{\xi}(1, 1)$	-
	Var( <i>S</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(2, 2)$	0.015*	$\Sigma_{\xi}(2, 2)$	-
	Var( <i>Q</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(3, 3)$	0.002*	$\Sigma_{\xi}(3, 3)$	-
	Var( <i>C</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(4, 4)$	0.001*	$\Sigma_{\xi}(4, 4)$	-
	Cov( <i>I</i> , <i>S</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(1, 2)$	0.018	$\Sigma_{\xi}(1, 2)$	-
	Cov( <i>I</i> , <i>Q</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(1, 3)$	-0.019*	$\Sigma_{\xi}(1, 3)$	-
	Cov( <i>I</i> , <i>C</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(1, 4)$	0.000	$\Sigma_{\xi}(1, 4)$	-
	Cov( <i>S</i> , <i>Q</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(2, 3)$	0.000	$\Sigma_{\xi}(2, 3)$	-
	Cov( <i>S</i> , <i>C</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(2, 4)$	0.000	$\Sigma_{\xi}(2, 4)$	-
	Cov( <i>Q</i> , <i>C</i> )	$\Sigma_{\zeta}(3, 4)$	0.000	$\Sigma_{\xi}(3, 4)$	-
	Precision	$\phi$	-	$\phi$	-
	Residual	$\sigma_u^2$	0.272*	$\sigma_u^2$	-

Table B.4: Results for the cubic growth curve model for BMI and PBF. Values followed by an asterisk (\*) have 95% credibility intervals that do not include 0. The cubic growth curve model did not converge for PBF.

## Web Supplement C: Software

Associated R/JAGS scripts for the LGCM model implementation using simulated data are available at the GitHub link: <https://github.com/bandyopd/GCM>